Niamh at 9 months



Niamh at 3 years



Niamh at 5 years



The Importance of **Striking Balance:** Underemployment, **Overemployment**, and **Psychological and Marital Well-Being in Mothers of** Infants, and their **Partners**

Eamonn Barron & Ela Polek







www.growingup.ie



Work Hours Constraint

- <u>Definition</u>: Discrepancy between actual and preferred working hours
- Two dimensions
 - Underemployment (UE)
 - Overemployment (OE)
- Increasingly prevalent
 Otterbach (2012)
- Consequential





The Study's Groups

• Underemployed



Adequately employed







Present Study

- Well-being indices
 - Mothers' and fathers':
 - marital well-being (MWB)
 - psychological well-being (PWB)
 - work-family conflict (WFC)
 - Mothers' difficulty arranging childcare
- Couple dynamics (crossover)
 - Work-family conflict to marital well-being
 - Psychological well-being to marital well-being







- Work hours constraint generally understudied
- Psychological well-being and work productivity (Sanderson & Andrews, 2006)
- Centrality of family cohesion for individuals and society (Giutián, 2009)
- Dual-earner families most common in OECD



Literature Review: Psychological Well-Being

Otterbach (2012); Friedland & Price (2003);
Dooley et al. (2000); Prause & Dooley (1997); Herzog et al. (1991)

– Underemployment and overemployment → lower psychological well-being





Literature Review: Marital Well-Being

- Zvonkovic (1988); Zvonkovic et al. (1988)

Husbands' underemployment → lower marital well-being for both partners





Literature Review: Couple Dynamics

 Larson & Almeida (1999): Situations of environmental stress → greater crossover/emotional transmission





Hypotheses

- Well-being: More favourable outcomes for adequately employed families (spillover theory)
- Couple dynamics: Greater crossover where higher stress (i.e. underemployment and overemployment)

- Larson & Almeida (1999)





Sample Description

- Infant Cohort first wave
- 3,939 dual-earner couples
 - 338 Underemployed (9%) → €21, 321
 - − 1128 Adequately Employed (29%) \rightarrow €25,248
 - 2473 Overemployed (63%) → €29,314
- "How many hours do you normally work per week, including any regular overtime work?"
- "If you were completely free to choose, how many hours a week (paid work) would you like to work overall?"





- Dyadic Adjustment Scale (Sharpley & Rogers, 1984)
- Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (Melchior et al., 1993)
- 2 items on work-family conflict
- 7 items on difficulty arranging childcare
- Multiple imputation (Rubin & Schenker, 1986)





- Well-being outcomes (MANCOVA)
- Couple dynamics (Multiple group pathway analysis)
- Control variables
 - Family income
 - Education (Mother)
 - Race/ethnicity (Mother)
 - No. of people in household





Hypothesised Model





Marital Well-Being

Mothers

Fathers





Psychological Well-Being





Work-Family Conflict

Mothers





Difficulty Arranging Childcare



Error Bars: 95% Cl



Overemployed





Adequately Employed





Summary: Well-Being Outcomes

- Mothers:
 - Psychological well-being (AE > UE, OE)
 - Work-family conflict (OE > AE, UE)
 - Difficulty arranging childcare (UE > OE, AE)

• Fathers:

- Marital well-being (AE > UE, OE)



Summary: Couple Dynamics

Overemployed

 Fathers' psychological well-being → mothers' marital well-being

Adequately employed

 Fathers' work-family conflict → mothers' marital well-being



Methodological Weakness

- Cross-sectional design: Causation?
- Reliability of measures used?
 - Difficulty arranging childcare
- Limits to generalisability of the findings?





Implications

- POLICY: Attention to reduction/eradication of under- and overemployment
- POLICY: Work place mental health screening





Future Research



- Unique findings require replication!
- Longitudinal
- Meaning of work hour preferences



Take Home Message



- Mothers' not achieving preferred working hours seems to matter for...
 - Mothers' psychological well-being
 - Fathers' marital well-being
 - Couple dynamics



Acknowledgments

Growing Up in Ireland team



Growing Up in Ireland

National Longitudinal Study of Children



Thank you for Listening!



ebarron34@gmail.com



Work-Family Conflict Scale

- "Missed out on home or family activities that you would have liked to have taken part in"
- "Your family time is less enjoyable and more pressured."
- Reliability:
 - Mothers (.65)
 - Fathers (.62)



Difficulty Arranging Childcare scale

- Has difficulty arranging childcare ever:
 - "Prevented your looking for a job"
 - "Made you turn down or leave a job"
 - "Stopped you from taking on some study or training"
 - "Made you leave a study or training course"
 - "Restricted the hours you could work or study"
 - "Prevented you from engaging in social activities"
- Reliability: .47



Demographic Make-up of Sample

	Underemployed	Adequately Employed	Overemployed
Variable	(n=338)	(n=1128)	(n=2473)
Social Class			
Professional/Managerial	55	65	74
Other non-manual/skilled manual	35	31	23
Semi-skilled/unskilled manual	9	4	3
Mean Equivalised Income (SD)	21321 (11765)	25248 (14821)	29314 (13289)
Missing Data	11	5	5
Household Size			
3	37	35	46
4	33	36	35
5	22	18	14
6	8	8	5
7 or more	2	3	1



Demographic Make-up of Sample

	Underemployed (n=338)		Adec	quately	Overemployed (n=2473)		
Variable			Employee	d (n=1128)			
	Women	Partners	Women	Partners	Women	Partners	
Education							
None/Primary	1	1	1	1	0	1	
Lower Secondary	7	11	4	15	3	12	
Higher Secondary	28	41	29	37	23	34	
College non-degree	25	16	23	16	22	19	
First degree at university	15	11	14	11	18	13	
Professional Qualification	9	11	13	9	14	10	
Postgraduate Education	15	10	16	11	21	13	
Missing Data	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Ethnicity							
Irish	72	73	85	85	88	86	
Other white	22	21	11	11	9	10	
African/Other black							
background	2	2	1	1	1	1	
Chinese/Other Asian							
background	3	3	4	3	2	3	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Missing Data	1	1	0	0	0	0	
Marital Status							
Married and living with							
partner	72	71	80	80	81	80	
Married and separated							
from partner	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Divorced/Widowed	2	1	1	1	1	1	
Never married	25	24	18	18	18	17	
Missing Data	1	2	1	1	0	1	
Age Range							
20-25	12	-	6	-	5	-	
26-30	26	-	26	-	23	-	
31-35	34	-	39	-	44	-	
36-39	22	-	23	-	22	-	
40 or more	7	-	6	-	6	-	
Employment Status							
Part-time employed	96	8	83	7	34	7	
Full-time employed	4	92	17	93	66	93	
Usual Work Situation							
Employee	82	73	88	72	92	77	
Self-Employed	18	24	12	23	8	20	
Farmer	-	2	-	5	-	4	
Clinical Depression	11	5	6	3	7	3	
Missing Data	2	3	1	2	1	1	



Missing Data by Variable

	Underemployed	Adequately Employed	Overemployed
Variable	(n=338)	(n=1128)	(n=2473)
Mother's Education	0	0	0
Income	11	5	5
Household Size	0	0	0
Ethnicity	0	0	0
Mother			
Depression	2	1	1
Marital Satisfaction	3	2	1
Work-Family Conflict	8	5	6
Difficulties in Arranging Childcare	0	0	0
Father			
Depression	3	2	1
Marital Satisfaction	3	2	2
Work-Family Conflict	2	2	2



MANCOVA

				99% Confidence Interval		
				Lower	Upper	
Variable	Work Constraints Group	Mean	SE	Bound	Bound	
Women						
MWB	Underemployed	25.26	.26	24.60	25.92	
	Adequately Employed	25.52	.14	25.16	25.88	
	Overemployed	25.31	.09	25.07	25.55	
PWB	Underemployed	2.35**	.16	1.92	2.77	
	Adequately Employed	1.67	.09	1.44	1.90	
	Overemployed	1.99*	.06	1.84	2.15	
Work-Family Conflict	Underemployed	2.28	.05	2.14	2.42	
	Adequately Employed	2.28	.03	2.20	2.35	
	Overemployed	2.91**	.02	2.86	2.96	
Difficulties Arranging	Underemployed	1.06*	.05	.93	1.20	
Childcare	Adequately Employed	0.72	.03	.64	.79	
	Overemployed	0.73	.02	.68	.77	
Partners						
MWB	Underemployed	25.27*	.26	24.60	25.93	
	Adequately Employed	26.04	.14	25.68	26.40	
	Overemployed	25.56*	.09	25.32	25.80	
PWB	Underemployed	1.38	.13	1.05	1.71	
	Adequately Employed	1.15	.07	.97	1.33	
	Overemployed	1.33	.05	1.21	1.46	
Work-Family Conflict	Underemployed	2.74	.06	2.60	2.88	
-	Adequately Employed	2.61	.03	2.54	2.69	
	Overemployed	2.68	.02	2.62	2.73	



Pathway Coefficients & Model Fit Details

Table 5

Measurement of Structural Model for Three Groups and Comparison of Path Coefficients Across Groups

								р
	Underem	ployed (n=338)	Adequatel	y Employed	Overe	mployed	UE vs. A	E AE vs. OE
			(n=1128)		(n=2473)			
	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE	Estimate	SE		
Structural Model								
Work-family conflict (W) \leftrightarrow Work-family conflict (P)	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.03	0.13**	0.02	0.746	0.160
Work-family conflict (W) \rightarrow PWB (W)	0.05	0.19	0.1**	0.09	0.1**	0.06	0.419	1.000
Work-family conflict (P) \rightarrow PWB (P)	0.19**	0.13	0.15**	0.07	0.13**	0.05	0.508	0.571
Work-family conflict (P) \rightarrow MWB (P)	-0.11	0.25	-0.17**	0.14	-0.11**	0.09	0.325	0.089
Work-family conflict (P) \rightarrow MWB (W)	-0.03	0.29	-0.12**	0.14	-0.04	0.09	0.147	0.025†
Difficulties arranging childcare $(W) \rightarrow Work$ -family conflict (W)	0.21**	0.05	0.11**	0.03	0.17**	0.02	0.099	0.089
Difficulties arrangine childcare $(W) \rightarrow Work$ -family conflict (P)	0.18**	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.07**	0.02	0.034†	0.577
Difficulties arranging childcare $(W) \rightarrow PWB(W)$	0.02	0.16	0.15**	0.09	0.13**	0.07	0.035†	0.571
Difficulties arranging childcare $(W) \rightarrow PWB(P)$	0.02	0.11	0.00	0.07	0.07**	0.05	0.748	0.051
$PWB (W) \leftrightarrow PWB (P)$	0.03	0.43	0.16**	0.18	0.07	0.14	0.035†	0.011†
$PWB(W) \rightarrow MWB(W)$	-0.2	0.08	-0.10**	0.05	-0.21**	0.03	0.100	0.002†
$PWB(P) \rightarrow MWB(P)$	-0.27**	0.10	-0.18**	0.06	-0.17**	0.04	0.127	0.774
$PWB(P) \rightarrow MWB(W)$	-0.09	0.12	-0.03	0.06	-0.12**	0.04	0.333	0.012†
$MWB(W) \leftrightarrow MWB(P)$	0.41**	1.30	-0.29**	0.63	0.30**	0.42	0.028^{+}	0.761
Income \rightarrow MWB (W)	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.07**	0.00	0.747	0.780
Education (W) \rightarrow MWB (W)	0.04	0.11	0.13**	0.05	0.13**	0.04	0.145	1.000
Education (W) \rightarrow MWB (P)	0.13	0.09	0.14**	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.870	0.025†
Education (W) \rightarrow PWB (W)	-0.09	0.07	-0.11**	0.03	-0.06	0.02	0.745	0.162
Income \leftrightarrow Education (W)	0.33**	1893.57	0.28**	1248.99	0.33**	738.63	0.376	0.125
Model Fit								
χ2	35.77		47.53		68.13			
p	0.005		0.000		0.000			
RMSEA	0.057 (.03	1084)	0.040 (.027054)		0.035 (.026044)			
NFI	0.865		0.913		0.947			
CFI	0.918		0.940		0.959			
df	17		17		17			

Note. W in parentheses following a construct label indicates the construct relates to women. P indicates relation of the construct to partners. UE indicates the group 'Underemployed'. AE indicates the group 'Adequately Employed'. OE indicates the group 'Overemployed'. The values under the heading 'Estimates' represent standardized path coefficients. The values under the heading 'UE vs. AE represent probability (p) values for the outcome of two-tailed t-tests comparing path coefficients from the underemployed and adequately employed groups. The values under the heading 'AE vs OE' represent probability (p) values for the outcomes of two-tailed t-tests comparing path coefficients from the adequately employed and overemployed groups. The values under the heading 'AE vs OE' represent probability (p) values for the outcomes of two-tailed t-tests comparing path coefficients from the adequately employed and overemployed groups. RMSEA 10% lower and upper bound confidence intervals are included in parentheses after the RMSEA values. **denotes a significant pathway coefficient (p < .001). †denotes a significant difference between path coefficients (p < .05).



Value of Statistical Approach Taken

MANCOVA

- Examine group differences
- Multiple dependent variables
- Control for influence of multiple covariates
- Structural Equation Modelling (Multiple Group Pathway Analysis)
 - Test overall model (vs. individual coefficients)
 - Handles non-normal data using bootstrap technique
- Actor Partner Interdependence Model
 - Examines dyadic data
 - Can test independent effect of one predictor variable on another variable net of the effect of other variables in the model



Bibliography (i)

- Central Statistics Office (2011). Women and men in Ireland [Adobe Digital Editions version]. Retrieved from <u>www.cso.ie</u>
- Dooley, D. & Prause, J. (1998). Underemployment and alcohol misuse in the national longitudinal survey of youth [Abstract]. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, 6*, 669-680. Retrieved from <u>http://www.jsad.com/</u>
- Friedland, D.S., & Price, R.H. (2003). Underemployment: Consequences for the health and well-being of workers. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 32,* 33-45. Retrieved from

http://www.springer.com/psychology/community+psychology/j ournal/10464

 Guitián, G. (2009). Conciliating work and family: A Catholic social teaching perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics, 88,* 513-524. DOI: 10.1007/s10551-009-0019-8



Bibliography (ii)

- Larson, R.W., & Almeida, D.M. (1999). Emotional transmission in the daily lives of families: A new paradigm for studying family process. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 61, 5-20*. Retrieved from <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/353879</u>
- OECD (2011). Doing better for families [Adobe Digital Editions version]. Retrieved from <u>http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/47701118.pdf</u>.
- Otterbach, S. (2012). Work time and hour constraints (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from http://opus.ub.uni-hohenheim.de/volltexte/2012/778/pdf/Otterbach_Diss_2012.pdf
- Sanderson, K., & Andrews, G. (2006). Common mental disorders in the workforce: Recent findings from descriptive and social epidemiology. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 51,* 63-75. Retrieved from <u>http://publications.cpa-</u> <u>apc.org/browse/sections/0</u>



Bibliography (iii)

- Skinner, N., & Pocock, B. (2011). Flexibility and work-life interference in Australia. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 53, 65-82. DOI: 10.1177/0022185610390297
- Zvonkovic, A.M. (1988). Underemployment: Individual and marital adjustment to income loss. *Lifestyles, 2,* 161-178. Retrieved from

http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00986937

 Zvonkovic, A.M., Guss, T., & Ladd, L. (1988). Making the most of job loss: Individual and marital features of underemployment. *Family Relations, 37,* 56-61. Retrieved from <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/584430</u>